

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,480	VALIANTE, NICHOLAS M	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yong S. Chong	1627	

All Participants:

(1) Yong S. Chong

(2) Ms. Leslie Robinson

Date of Interview: 25 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:50 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Chamberlain et al. (US Patent Application 2005/0234083 A1) in view of Klaviniskis et al. (US Application 2003/014792 A1) and Ryan (US Patent 4,171,353).

Claims discussed:

Claim(s) 21, 24, 26, 36-49

Prior art documents discussed:

Chamberlain et al. (US Patent Application 2005/0234083 A1) in view of Klaviniskis et al. (US Application 2003/014792 A1) and Ryan (US Patent 4,171,353)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Robinson was contacted in the interest of compact prosecution to see if the Applicant was willing to cancel claims 21, 24, 26, 36-39 and take allowed claims 40-49 in an Examiner's Amendment since Applicant's arguments seem substantially the same to that of record in the long prosecution history of this case. Ms. Robinson was also notified that a pre-appeal conference has already taken place and the decision was to go to the Board. Ms. Robinson will ask the Applicant if they would take claims 40-49 and possible pursue claims 21, 24, 26, 36-39 in a continuation. Ms. Robinson will respond one way or another by COB Friday.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yong S. Chong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)